arXiv:1606.04684v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 15 Jun 2016

Suppressing photochemical reactions with quantized light fields

Javier Galego,[1] Francisco J. Garcia-Vidal,[1,2,*] and Johannes Feist[1,†]

[1] *Departamento de Física Teórica de la Materia Condensada and Condensed Matter Physics Center (IFIMAC), Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, E-28049 Madrid, Spain*

[2] *Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), E-20018 Donostia/San Sebastian, Spain*

(Dated: June 16, 2016)

Photoisomerization, i.e., a change of molecular structure after absorption of a photon, is one of the most fundamental photochemical processes. It can perform desirable functionality, e.g., as the primary photochemical event in human vision, where it stores electronic energy in the molecular structure [1, 2], or for possible applications in solar energy storage [3] and as memories, switches, and actuators [4, 5]; but it can also have detrimental effects, for example as an important damage pathway under solar irradiation of DNA [6, 7], or as a limiting factor for the efficiency of organic solar cells [8]. While photoisomerization can be avoided by shielding the system from light, this is of course not a viable pathway for approaches that rely on the interaction with external light (such as solar cells or solar energy storage). Here, we show that strong coupling of organic molecules to a confined light mode can be used to strongly suppress photoisomerization, and thus convert molecules that normally show fast photodegradation into photostable forms.

Strong coupling is achieved when the coherent energy exchange between molecules and the light mode becomes faster than the decoherence processes in the system [9, 10]. This creates paradigmatic hybrid quantum systems with eigenstates that have mixed light-matter character (so-called polaritons). Organic materials provide particularly large dipole moments and high molecular densities, making them ideal systems to reach the strong coupling regime [11, 12]. By exploiting the strong field localization in plasmonic nanocavities, even single-molecule strong coupling has recently been achieved [13]. However, while most models of strong coupling are based on two-level systems, this is far from a realistic description for molecules with many nuclear (i.e., rovibrational) degrees of freedom. While pioneering experiments show modifications of material properties and even chemical reaction rates under strong coupling [14–17], the influence of strong coupling on internal degrees of freedom has only come into focus recently [18–22]. We here demonstrate that a wide class of photochemical reactions can be strongly suppressed under strong coupling to discrete quantized light modes. Our results imply that even extremely fragile molecules could be stabilized by simply putting them close to a nanophotonic structure.

We treat a general molecular model that can represent a variety of commonly studied photoisomerization reactions, such as *cis-trans* isomerization of stilbene, azobenze or rhodopsin [2, 23, 24] (corresponding to rotation around a C=C or N=N double bond, as sketched in the inset of Fig. 1), or ring-opening and ring-closing reactions in diarylethenes [4]. The model molecule (see Methods section for more detail) describes nuclear motion on ground and excited electronic potential energy surfaces (PES) along a single reaction coordinate q, as shown in Fig. 2a. All other degrees of freedom are assumed to be fully relaxed, such that the excited PES represents the minimum-energy reaction path. The ground state PES possesses two minima separated by a barrier, corresponding to the two isomers. At the top of the barrier, a narrow avoided crossing between the ground and excited PES leads to an efficient nonadiabatic transition, giving a photoisomerization quantum yield approaching unity. As shown in Fig. 2d, the bare model molecule undergoes rapid photoisomerization within a few hundred fs. We here perform full wavepacket propagation after excitation from the ground to the excited electronic state by an ultrashort laser pulse.



FIG. 1. Schematic of a collection of molecules coupled to a localized surface plasmon mode in the gap between two nanoparticles.

In contrast, when the system enters strong coupling, photoisomerization in a single molecule is suppressed. To show this, we rely on the theoretical framework we introduced in ref. [19], which extends the well-known Born-Oppenheimer approximation with the tools of cav-



FIG. 2. Suppression of photoisomerization under strong coupling for a single molecule. (a-c) Ground (blue) and excited (purple-orange color scale) potential energy surfaces of the model molecule coupled to a quantized light mode ($\omega_c = 2.65$ eV), with the light-matter coupling strength Ω_R increasing from (a) to (c). The continuous color scale encodes the nature of the hybridized excited PES. (d-e) Time propagation of the nuclear wavepacket after sudden excitation to the lowest excited PES (=lower polariton for $\Omega_R > 0$), shown separately for the parts in the ground and lower polariton surface (orange), and the ground state surface (blue) reached through the nonadiabatic transition at $q = 0$. Contributions in the upper polariton surface are negligible and not shown.

ity QED by including the light-matter interaction in the "electronic" Hamiltonian and following nuclear dynamics on hybrid light-matter PES. We include a (single) quantized light mode (which can represent confined light modes in different physical systems, such as microcavity modes or localized surface plasmon resonances) with energy term $\omega_c \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$. Here, ω_c is the quantized mode frequency, and $\hat{a}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}$ are the associated bosonic creation and annihilation operators. The light-matter coupling is given by $\hat{\mu}(q) \cdot \vec{E}_{1\mathrm{ph}}(\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a})$, where $\vec{E}_{1\mathrm{ph}}$ is the electric field amplitude of a single quantized confined photon, and $\hat{\mu}$ is the (vectorial) molecular dipole operator. Without light-matter coupling, the photonically excited surface $V_c(q) = V_g(q) + \omega_c$ is simply a copy of the molecular ground state shifted upwards by the photon energy (Fig. 2a). When coupling is turned on, the two singly excited surfaces $V_c(q)$ and $V_e(q)$ hybridize, forming "polaritonic" surfaces with mixed light-matter character, as depicted in Fig. 2(b,c). The splitting between the polaritonic PES around equilibrium ($q_0 \approx -1.05$ a.u.) is approximately equal to the Rabi frequency $\Omega_R = 2\vec{\mu}_{eg}(q_0) \cdot \vec{E}_{1\mathrm{ph}}$. Importantly, the lower polariton PES develops a deeper and deeper minimum as the coupling is increased. This has two primary reasons: First, the light-matter coupling is most effective when $V_c(q)$ and $V_e(q)$ are close, "pushing down" the lower polariton. At regions of larger detuning, the "polariton" PES are almost identical to the uncoupled ones. Second, the local shape of the polariton PES becomes a mixture of the two uncoupled PES in regions where they hybridize significantly. Since the photonic surface $V_c(q)$ behaves like the ground-state PES, this additionally supports the formation of a local minimum in the polaritonic PES. In combination, this provides a reaction barrier that almost completely suppresses isomerization for sufficiently strong coupling, as seen in Fig. 2(b,c). Note that while the upper polariton PES appears even more stable than the lower one in this model, this is an artefact of the restriction to one degree of freedom, with all other degrees of freedom relaxed to their local minimum. This implies that the lower polariton PES indeed corresponds to the lowest-energy excited state, such that the restriction to one coordinate is well-justified. In contrast, the upper polariton surface can possess efficient relaxation pathways to the lower polariton along orthogonal degrees of freedom, and indeed, upper polaritons are known to decay relatively quickly within the excited-state subspace [25, 26].

We have thus shown that strong coupling of a single molecule to a confined light mode can strongly suppress photoisomerization reactions and stabilize the molecule. The recent experimental realization of single-molecule strong coupling proves that this could indeed be a viable pathway towards manipulation of single molecules [13]. At the same time, most experiments achieving strong coupling with organic molecules have exploited *collective* coupling [27, 28], in which $N \gg 1$ molecules coherently interact with a single mode, leading to an enhancement of the total Rabi frequency by a factor of $\sqrt{N}$ [29]. However, it has recently been shown that many observables corresponding to "internal" degrees of freedom of the

molecules are only affected by the single-molecule coupling strength [19, 20]. One could thus expect that the suppression of photoisomerization disappears under collective strong coupling when N is sufficiently large. We next show that exactly the opposite is the case, and strong coupling of a large number of molecules to a single mode actually improves the molecular stabilization significantly.

In order to treat collective strong coupling involving N molecules and a single confined light mode, we again restrict ourselves to the zero- and single-excitation subspace. The molecules now have N total nuclear degrees of freedom, described by the vector $\vec{q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_N)$, and the PES accordingly become N-dimensional surfaces. For the uncoupled system, these surfaces are the global ground state $V_G(\vec{q}) = \sum_i V_g(q_i)$, the photonically excited state $V_C(\vec{q}) = V_G(\vec{q}) + \omega_c$, and the N molecular excited states $V_E^{(i)}(\vec{q}) = V_G(\vec{q}) + V_e(q_i) - V_g(q_i)$. The electronic-photonic Hamiltonian in the first excited subspace is then given by

$$\hat{H}(\vec{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} V_C(\vec{q}) & g(q_1) & g(q_2) & \ldots & g(q_N) \\ g(q_1) & V_E^{(1)}(\vec{q}) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ g(q_2) & 0 & V_E^{(2)}(\vec{q}) & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g(q_N) & 0 & 0 & \ldots & V_E^{(N)}(\vec{q}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where $g(q) = \vec{\mu}_{eg}(q) \cdot \vec{E}_{1\mathrm{ph}}$. Diagonalizing $\hat{H}(\vec{q})$ gives $N+1$ polaritonic surfaces, which describe the collective coupled motion of all molecules. In principle, this could induce, e.g., collective transitions in which multiple molecules move in concert. We show in Fig. 3a that this is not the case. Here, we plot the lower-polariton PES (the lowest excited-state surface) as a function of the reaction coordinates of the first two molecules, q_1 and q_2, while keeping all other molecules fixed to the equilibrium position ($q_j = q_0$ for $j > 2$). The figure clearly shows that the barrier for isomerization starting from the ground-state equilibrium position $\vec{q} = (q_0, \ldots, q_0)$ is minimal for motion along only one molecular degree of freedom.

We thus analyze the polaritonic states under motion of only the first molecule q_1, fixing all other molecules to the ground-state equilibrium position ($q_j = q_0$ for $j > 1$). The corresponding PES are shown in Fig. 3(b-d). When the light-matter coupling is zero (Fig. 3b), the surface $V_E^{(1)}(\vec{q})$ behaves like $V_e(q_1)$, while all other surfaces (corresponding to photonic excitation, or excitation of a "stationary" molecule $j > 1$) appear like copies of the ground-state PES $V_g(q_1)$ shifted in energy.

The strongly coupled PES for varying numbers of molecules are shown in Fig. 3(c,d). We keep the total Rabi frequency constant (corresponding to a scaling of the single-photon field strength with $N^{-1/2}$). Close to equilibrium ($q_1 \approx q_0$), the $N+1$ surfaces can be clearly classified into a lower and upper polariton PES, which show significant hybridization with the photonic mode, as well as $N-1$ "dark" surfaces that are almost purely excitonic [19].

As the number of molecules is increased, the local minimum of the lower-polariton PES becomes more and more reminiscent of the pure ground-state PES, making the potential energy barrier to photoisomerization higher and higher. This can be immediately understood from the structure of the Hamiltonian: For motion along any given molecular degree of freedom, there is only one PES that supports photoisomerization, but N PES that give ground-state-like motion ($N-1$ molecular and one photonic excitation). The lower polariton PES inherits its shape from these ingredients, weighted by their respective fractions. This results in an almost perfect copy of the ground state PES for motion along any one molecular degree of freedom, stabilizing the molecules through collective protection of the excitation by distributing it over all molecules.

Furthermore, the similarity of the ground and lower polariton PES for large N implies that the Franck-Condon factors, i.e., the overlap between nuclear eigenstates in the ground and lower polariton PES, become approximately diagonal. Thus, transitions from the overall ground state to vibrationally excited states in the lower polariton PES become more and more suppressed, such that the excited nuclear wavepacket is in the ground state, providing an additional stabilization effect.

Finally, a third effect further improves the stabilization in the lower polariton. Closer inspection of Fig. 3(c,d) reveals that the lower polariton PES features a narrow avoided crossing (at $q \approx -0.75$) where it switches from a hybridized state to essentially the single-molecule excited-state surface. The large wavefunction mismatch makes adabiatic nuclear motion unlikely, and diabatic motion, in which the electronic and photonic degrees of freedom are unchanged, becomes much more likely. This can be shown by constructing diabatic PES close to the avoided crossing, obtained by diagonalizing the coupling between $N-1$ "unmoving" molecules and the light mode (giving a very good approximation to the LP PES), which is then coupled to the excited-state PES of the single moving molecule. A short calculation reveals that the transition matrix element between the diabatic LP surface and the single-molecule excited surface is surpressed by a factor $\sim N^{-1/2}$ for a fixed collective Rabi frequency, indicating that the transition to the isomerization surface is indeed strongly suppressed.

To conclude, we have demonstrated the stabilization of excited-state molecular structure and accompanying strong suppression of photochemical reactions under strong coupling of molecules to confined light modes. While already effective in the case of a single couple molecule, we find that collective coupling of a large number molecules to a single light mode does not reduce the influence of strong light-matter coupling on each molecule, but provides even stronger stabilization. This counter-



FIG. 3. Many-molecule potential energy surfaces under strong coupling. (a) Lower polariton PES for $N = 50$ molecules, under motion of molecules 1 and 2, with all others held in the equilibrium position q_0. (b-d) All potential energy surfaces under motion of only molecule 1, for no light-matter coupling (b), and under strong coupling for $N = 5$ (c) and $N = 50$ (d) molecules. In all panels, the photonic mode frequency is $\omega_c = 2.65$ eV, while the (collective) Rabi frequency is fixed to $\Omega_C = \sqrt{N}\Omega_R = 0.5$ eV.

intuitive feature can be understood by the additional protection afforded by collective distribution of the excitation over the molecules. These results do not depend on the specifics of the molecular model, such that the observed stabilization is expected to occur for any kind of photochemical reaction that is induced by motion on the excited molecular PES. These results thus pave the way towards a new type of material, created through strong coupling to quantized light modes, for devices such as solar cells.

METHODS

We here describe the molecular model in more detail. The adiabatic PES of the bare molecule are constructed in terms of diabatic surfaces $V_A(q)$ and $V_B(q)$ coupled to each other with a coupling h_0 that is assumed constant in space. This gives the following electronic Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H}_{\rm el}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} V_A(q) & h_0 \\ h_0 & V_B(q) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

Diagonalization of $\hat{H}_{\rm el}(q)$ returns the ground and excited state PES of Fig. 2a, $V_g(q)$ and $V_e(q)$, together with the adiabatic electronic wavefunctions. This also gives access to the nonadiabatic coupling that controls the transition between ground and excited surfaces at $q \approx 0$, given by $F_{i,j}(q) = \langle i(q)|\partial_q|j(q)\rangle$, where $i, j = e, g$ and $|i(q)\rangle$ represent the adiabatic electronic states. We note that nonadiabatic transitions in "real" molecules typically involve conical intersections [30], which only occur in multi-dimensional systems; however, the details of this transition do not influence the results presented.

The complete molecular Hamiltonian is then given by

$$\hat{H}_{\rm mol}(q) = \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2M_q} + \hat{V}(q) + \hat{\Lambda}(q), \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{P}$ is the (diagonal) nuclear momentum operator, M_q is the effective mass for the nuclear coordinate q, $\hat{V}(q)$ is the (diagonal) PES matrix in the adiabatic basis, and $\hat{\Lambda}(q)$ is the matrix of off-diagonal (nonadiabatic) couplings, given by $\hat{\Lambda}(q) = \frac{1}{2M_q}\left(2\hat{F}(q)\partial_q + \hat{G}(q)\right)$, with $G_{i,j}(q) = \partial_q F_{i,j}(q) + F^2_{i,j}(q)$ [30].

When introducing the coupling to the quantized confined light mode, the total Hamiltonian additionally depends on the dipole moment $\hat{\mu}(q)$, which we set as purely offdiagonal in the adiabatic basis. The ground-excited dipole moment $\vec{\mu}_{eg}(q)$ typically is approximately constant close to the stable geometries, but changes rapidly close to the nonadiabatic transition due to the sudden polarization effect [31]. We thus choose $\mu_{eq}(q) \propto \arctan(q/q_m)$, with $q_m = 0.625$ representing the length scale on which $\mu_{eq}(q)$ changes. Diagonalization of the total adiabatic N-molecule electron-photon Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{\rm SC} = \omega_c a^\dagger a + \sum_i \left(\hat{V}(q_i) + \hat{\mu}(q_i)\cdot\vec{E}_{\rm 1ph}(\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a})\right) \tag{4}$$

within the single-excitation subspace then yields the strongly coupled (polaritonic) potential energy surfaces. We note that the nonadiabatic couplings in the polaritonic basis are given by new terms $\hat{\Lambda}_{SC}$ due to the basis change, as well as the bare-molecule nonadiabatic couplings $\hat{\Lambda}(q_i)$ transformed to the polaritonic basis.

To evaluate population transfer both in the uncoupled and in the strongly coupled system, we finally solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation $i\partial_t|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}_{\rm tot}|\psi(t)\rangle$ without invoking the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, i.e., including all nonadiabatic terms. The initial wavefunction is given by direct promotion of the ground-state nuclear wavepacket to the lowest excited state (excited molecular state for no coupling, lower polariton under strong coupling), filtered by the q-dependent transition dipole moment from the ground state. This is the initial state that would be obtained after excitation by an ultrashort laser pulse tuned to the excitation energy around the nuclear equilibrium position.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been funded by the European Research Council (ERC-2011-AdG proposal No. 290981), by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement FP7-PEOPLE-2013-CIG-618229, and the Spanish MINECO under contract MAT2014-53432-C5-5-R and the "María de Maeztu" programme for Units of Excellence in R&D (MDM-2014-0377).

* fj.garcia@uam.es
† johannes.feist@uam.es

[1] Tôru Yoshizawa and George Wald, "Pre-Lumirhodopsin and the Bleaching of Visual Pigments," Nature **197**, 1279–1286 (1963).

[2] Dario Polli, Piero Altoè, Oliver Weingart, Katelyn M. Spillane, Cristian Manzoni, Daniele Brida, Gaia Tomasello, Giorgio Orlandi, Philipp Kukura, Richard A. Mathies, Marco Garavelli, and Giulio Cerullo, "Conical intersection dynamics of the primary photoisomerization event in vision," Nature **467**, 440–443 (2010).

[3] Timothy J. Kucharski, Yancong Tian, Sergey Akbulatov, and Roman Boulatov, "Chemical solutions for the closed-cycle storage of solar energy," Energy Environ. Sci. **4**, 4449 (2011).

[4] Masahiro Irie, Tuyoshi Fukaminato, Kenji Matsuda, and Seiya Kobatake, "Photochromism of Diarylethene Molecules and Crystals: Memories, Switches, and Actuators," Chem. Rev. **114**, 12174–12277 (2014).

[5] Manuel Guentner, Monika Schildhauer, Stefan Thumser, Peter Mayer, David Stephenson, Peter J. Mayer, and Henry Dube, "Sunlight-powered kHz rotation of a hemithioindigo-based molecular motor," Nat. Commun. **6**, 8406 (2015).

[6] Rajeshwar P. Sinha and Donat-P. Häder, "UV-induced DNA damage and repair: a review," Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. **1**, 225–236 (2002).

[7] Thierry Douki, Anne Reynaud-Angelin, Jean Cadet, and Evelyne Sage, "Bipyrimidine Photoproducts Rather than Oxidative Lesions Are the Main Type of DNA Damage Involved in the Genotoxic Effect of Solar UVA Radiation," Biochemistry **42**, 9221–9226 (2003).

[8] Burkhard Zietz, Erik Gabrielsson, Viktor Johansson, Ahmed M El-Zohry, Licheng Sun, and Lars Kloo, "Photoisomerization of the cyanoacrylic acid acceptor group – a potential problem for organic dyes in solar cells," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **16**, 2251 (2014).

[9] Y. Kaluzny, P. Goy, M. Gross, J. Raimond, and S. Haroche, "Observation of Self-Induced Rabi Oscillations in Two-Level Atoms Excited Inside a Resonant Cavity: The Ringing Regime of Superradiance," Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1175–1178 (1983).

[10] R. J. Thompson, G. Rempe, and H. J. Kimble, "Observation of normal-mode splitting for an atom in an optical cavity," Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1132–1135 (1992).

[11] D. G. Lidzey, D. D. C. Bradley, M. S. Skolnick, T. Virgili, S. Walker, and D. M. Whittaker, "Strong exciton-photon coupling in an organic semiconductor microcavity," Nature **395**, 53–55 (1998).

[12] J. Bellessa, C. Bonnand, J. C. Plenet, and J. Mugnier, "Strong Coupling between Surface Plasmons and Excitons in an Organic Semiconductor," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 036404 (2004).

[13] Rohit Chikkaraddy, Bart de Nijs, Felix Benz, Steven J. Barrow, Oren A. Scherman, Edina Rosta, Angela Demetriadou, Peter Fox, Ortwin Hess, and Jeremy J. Baumberg, "Single-molecule strong coupling at room temperature in plasmonic nanocavities," Nature , 10.1038/nature17974 (2016).

[14] James A. Hutchison, Tal Schwartz, Cyriaque Genet, Eloïse Devaux, and Thomas W. Ebbesen, "Modifying Chemical Landscapes by Coupling to Vacuum Fields," Angew. Chemie **124**, 1624–1628 (2012).

[15] Shaojun Wang, Thibault Chervy, Jino George, James A. Hutchison, Cyriaque Genet, and Thomas W. Ebbesen, "Quantum Yield of Polariton Emission from Hybrid Light-Matter States," J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **5**, 1433–1439 (2014).

[16] David M. Coles, Niccolo Somaschi, Paolo Michetti, Caspar Clark, Pavlos G. Lagoudakis, Pavlos G. Savvidis, and David G. Lidzey, "Polariton-mediated energy transfer between organic dyes in a strongly coupled optical microcavity," Nat. Mater. **13**, 712–9 (2014).

[17] E. Orgiu, J. George, J. A. Hutchison, E. Devaux, J. F. Dayen, B. Doudin, F. Stellacci, C. Genet, J. Schachenmayer, C. Genes, G. Pupillo, P. Samorì, and T. W. Ebbesen, "Conductivity in organic semiconductors hybridized with the vacuum field," Nat. Mater. **14**, 1123–1129 (2015).

[18] F. C. Spano, "Optical microcavities enhance the exciton coherence length and eliminate vibronic coupling in J-aggregates," J. Chem. Phys. **142**, 184707 (2015).

[19] Javier Galego, Francisco J. Garcia-Vidal, and Johannes Feist, "Cavity-Induced Modifications of Molecular Structure in the Strong-Coupling Regime," Phys. Rev. X **5**, 041022 (2015).

[20] Justyna A. Ćwik, Peter Kirton, Simone De Liberato, and Jonathan Keeling, "Excitonic spectral features in strongly coupled organic polaritons," Phys. Rev. A **93**, 033840 (2016).

[21] Felipe Herrera and Frank C. Spano, "Cavity-Controlled Chemistry in Molecular Ensembles," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 238301 (2016).

[22] Markus Kowalewski, Kochise Bennett, and Shaul Mukamel, "Non-adiabatic dynamics of molecules in optical cavities," J. Chem. Phys. **144**, 054309 (2016).

[23] David H. Waldeck, "Photoisomerization dynamics of stilbenes," Chem. Rev. **91**, 415–436 (1991).

[24] M. Quick, A. L. Dobryakov, M. Gerecke, C. Richter, F. Berndt, I. N. Ioffe, A. A. Granovsky, R. Mahrwald, N. P. Ernsting, and S. A. Kovalenko, "Photoisomerization Dynamics and Pathways of trans- and cis-Azobenzene in Solution from Broadband Femtosecond Spectroscopies and Calculations," J. Phys. Chem. B **118**, 8756–8771 (2014).

[25] M. Litinskaya, P. Reineker, and V. M. Agranovich, "Fast polariton relaxation in strongly coupled organic microcavities," J. Lumin. **110**, 364–372 (2004).

[26] David M. Coles, Paolo Michetti, Caspar Clark, Ali M. Adawi, and David G. Lidzey, "Temperature dependence of the upper-branch polariton population in an organic semiconductor microcavity," Phys. Rev. B **84**, 205214 (2011).

[27] Gary P. Wiederrecht, Gregory A. Wurtz, and Jasmina Hranisavljevic, "Coherent Coupling of Molecular Excitons

to Electronic Polarizations of Noble Metal Nanoparticles," Nano Lett. **4**, 2121–2125 (2004).

[28] Gülis Zengin, Martin Wersäll, Sara Nilsson, Tomasz J. Antosiewicz, Mikael Käll, and Timur Shegai, "Realizing Strong Light-Matter Interactions between Single-Nanoparticle Plasmons and Molecular Excitons at Ambient Conditions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 157401 (2015).

[29] P. Törmä and W. L. Barnes, "Strong coupling between surface plasmon polaritons and emitters: a review," Rep. Prog. Phys. **78**, 013901 (2015).

[30] Graham A. Worth and Lorenz S. Cederbaum, "Beyond Born-Oppenheimer: Molecular Dynamics Through a Conical Intersection," Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **55**, 127–158 (2004).

[31] Vlasta Bonačić-Koutecký, Peter Bruckmann, Philippe Hiberty, Jaroslav Koutecký, Claude Leforestier, and Lionel Salem, "Sudden Polarization in the Zwitterionic Z 1 Excited States of Organic Intermediates. Photochemical Implications," Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. English **14**, 575–576 (1975).